An autonomous quantum machine to measure the thermodynamic arrow of time

Juliette Monsel, Cyril Elouard and Alexia Auffèves

npj Quantum Information 4, 59 (2018)

Espoo - June 24, 2019









Bourse de thèse "Jean-Pierre Aguilar"





- Proposal of direct measurement of the arrow of time
- Oirect measurement of Jarzynski's equality
- 4 Relaxation toward equilibrium

Reminders

- Thermodynamic arrow of time
- Optomechanical platform

2 Proposal of direct measurement of the arrow of time

3 Direct measurement of Jarzynski's equality



Relaxation toward equilibrium

Classical thermodynamics Applied side: work extraction





Classical thermodynamics Fundamental side: irreversibility



Entropy production $\Delta_i S$ Second law of thermodynamics: $\Delta_i S \ge 0$

Reminders

Classical thermodynamics Fundamental side: irreversibility



Entropy production $\Delta_i S$ Second law of thermodynamics: $\Delta_i S > 0$

Physical laws reversible at the microscopic scale: Where does irreversibility come from?

Can we reverse the movie? Ideal case



Can we reverse the movie? Ideal case



Battery
$$H(t_f - t)$$
 (System)

System follows reversed trajectory $\Sigma: \Sigma_f \to \Sigma_i$ with certainty

Can we reverse the movie? Realistic case



System randomly disturbed by bath follows direct trajectory Σ

Can we reverse the movie? Realistic case



System randomly disturbed by bath follows direct trajectory Σ



System does not follow reversed trajectory Σ with certainty

Central fluctuation theorem

Entropy production for a single trajectory

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{i}} s[ec{\Sigma}] = \log rac{P[ec{\Sigma}]}{ ilde{P}[ec{\Sigma}]}$$

Central fluctuation theorem

Entropy production for a single trajectory

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{i}} s[ec{\Sigma}] = \log rac{P[ec{\Sigma}]}{ ilde{P}[ec{\Sigma}]}$$

System at equilibrium at the start of direct and reversed transformations:

Central fluctuation theorem

$$\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} s[ec{\Sigma}]}
ight
angle_{ec{\Sigma}} = \sum_{ec{\Sigma}} ilde{P}[ec{\Sigma}] = 1$$

Central fluctuation theorem

Entropy production for a single trajectory

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{i}} s[ec{\Sigma}] = \log rac{P[ec{\Sigma}]}{ ilde{P}[ec{\Sigma}]}$$

System at equilibrium at the start of direct and reversed transformations:

Central fluctuation theorem

$$\left\langle \mathsf{e}^{-\Delta_{\mathsf{i}} s[\tilde{\Sigma}]} \right\rangle_{\vec{\Sigma}} = \sum_{\vec{\Sigma}} \tilde{P}[\tilde{\Sigma}] = 1$$

Second law of thermodynamics

$$\left\langle \Delta_{\mathsf{i}} s[ec{\Sigma}]
ight
angle_{ec{\Sigma}} \geq 0$$

Isothermal transformation

Macroscopic

• Entropy production:
$$\Delta_i S = \frac{1}{k_{\rm B}T} (W - \Delta F)$$

• Fundamental bound: $W \ge \Delta F$

Isothermal transformation

Macroscopic

• Entropy production:
$$\Delta_i S = \frac{1}{k_B T} (W - \Delta F)$$

Fundamental bound:
$$W \ge \Delta F$$

Microscopic

How to measure thermodynamic quantities for a two-level system?





How to measure thermodynamic quantities for a two-level system?





Platform: Hybrid optomechanical system



I. Yeo et al., Nature Nanotechnology 9, 106–110 (2014)

Platform: Hybrid optomechanical system



Model



 $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{L}[\rho] = \gamma \bar{n}_{\omega} D[|e\rangle\langle g|]\rho + \gamma (\bar{n}_{\omega} + 1) D[|g\rangle\langle e|]\rho, \ D[X]\rho = X\rho X^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \{X^{\dagger}X, \rho\}$

Model



Work exchanges

$$H = \hbar\omega_{0} |e\rangle\langle e| + \hbar\Omega b^{\dagger}b + \hbar g_{m} |e\rangle\langle e| (b + b^{\dagger})$$

Glimpse on work exchanges

$$H = \hbar\omega_{0} |e\rangle\langle e| + \hbar\Omega b^{\dagger}b + \hbar g_{m} |e\rangle\langle e| (b + b^{\dagger})$$

Battery in a coherent state: $b\left|\beta\right\rangle = \beta\left|\beta\right\rangle$



 \blacktriangleright Two-level system's frequency ω modulated by battery

Battery's rest position depends on two-level system's state

Where do we come from?

Average energy exchanges:





energy conversion at the single bit level.

Oscillator behaves like a battery Ultra-strong coupling regime $g_m \gtrsim \Omega$: $\langle W \rangle = - \langle \Delta \mathcal{E}_m \rangle$

Where do we come from?

Average energy exchanges:

	IOP Publishing	New J. Phys. 17 (2015) 055018 doi:10.1088/1367-2630		8/1367-2630/17/5/055018
		New Journal of Physics The open access journal at the forefront of physics	Becade Physical and Constants I DPG IOP Institute of Physics	Published in partnership with: Deutsche Physikalische Gesellscheft and the Institute of Physika
Vhere do we want to go?				
Extend this result to the single trajectory level				
 Direct measurement of Jarzynski's equality in a quantum open system 				
JQD layer J	Intry or loca under the treater of the Creater terms of the Creater Common Autoritation 3.0, Received autor of the state of the the autor of a mark the title autor of a mark the title autor of a mark the title and DOL	classical field (the battery) of infinite energy, that cor (the calorific fluid). Here we suggest a realistic device system interacting strongly with a nano-mechanical work, playing the role of the battery. We identify pro measurable amounts of work with the quantum emi- quantum emitter is coupled to a thermal bath, we sh with state-of-the-ard evices, paying the road toware energy conversion at the single bit level.	ntrols the energy levels of a smal te to reversibly extract work in a ces consist of an optically active oscillator that provides and sto tocols where the battery exchan- titter without getting entangled ow that thermodynamic revers Is the realization of a full cycle o	l quantum system battery of finite two-level quantum res mechanical ges large, with it. When the biblity is attainable finformation-to-

Oscillator behaves like a battery Ultra-strong coupling regime $g_{\rm m} \gtrsim \Omega$: $\langle W \rangle = - \langle \Delta \mathcal{E}_{\rm m} \rangle$



Proposal of direct measurement of the arrow of time

Oirect measurement of Jarzynski's equality

4 Relaxation toward equilibrium

Quantum trajectories

$$\dot{\rho} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}[H,\rho] + \mathcal{L}[\rho] \xrightarrow[\text{unraveling}]{\text{Quantum jump}} \text{Trajectory } \vec{\Sigma} \text{ of the hybrid} \text{ optomechanical system}$$

Hybrid optomechanical system always factorized: $\vec{\Sigma} = (\vec{\epsilon}, \vec{\beta}[\vec{\epsilon}])$

Quantum trajectories

$$\dot{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H,\rho] + \mathcal{L}[\rho] \xrightarrow{\text{Quantum jump}}_{\text{unraveling}} \xrightarrow{\text{Trajectory } \vec{\Sigma} \text{ of the hybrid}}_{\text{optomechanical system}}$$
Hybrid optomechanical system always factorized: $\vec{\Sigma} = (\vec{\epsilon}, \vec{\beta}[\vec{\epsilon}])$
Two-level system: $\mathcal{E}_{q}(t) = \hbar\omega(\beta(t))\delta_{\epsilon(t),e}$

$$\omega(\beta) = \omega_{0} + 2g_{m} \operatorname{Re} \beta$$

$$|e|_{|g|} \xrightarrow{|e|}_{0} \xrightarrow{|e|}_{t} \xrightarrow{|t|}_{t} \xrightarrow{|t|}_{t} \xrightarrow{|t|}_{t}$$
Battery: $\mathcal{E}_{m}(t) = \hbar\Omega|\beta(t)|^{2}$

$$\lim_{\beta \in \Omega} \beta(\alpha \times p)$$

$$\beta_{0} \xrightarrow{|e|}_{0} \xrightarrow{|e|}_{0} \xrightarrow{|e|}_{t} \xrightarrow{|t|}_{t} \xrightarrow{|t|}_{t}$$
Re $\beta(\alpha \times p)$

Stochastic work obtained by measuring the battery $W[\vec{\Sigma}] = -\Delta \mathcal{E}_{m}[\vec{\Sigma}] = \hbar \Omega \left(|\beta_{0}|^{2} - |\beta_{\Sigma}(t_{f})|^{2} \right)$

Stochastic entropy production

Battery's trajectories (direct protocol)



$$\Delta_{\mathsf{i}} s[\vec{\Sigma}] = \log rac{P[\vec{\Sigma}]}{\widetilde{P}[\check{\Sigma}]}$$

Prescription for reversed trajectories $\overleftarrow{\Sigma}$:

- Time reversal of unitaries
- Same stochastic map

Stochastic entropy production

Battery's trajectories (direct protocol)



$$\Delta_{\mathsf{i}} s[\vec{\Sigma}] = \sigma[\vec{\Sigma}] + I_{\mathsf{Sh}}[\vec{\Sigma}]$$

$$\sigma[\vec{\Sigma}] = -\frac{\Delta \mathcal{E}_{m}[\vec{\Sigma}] + \Delta F[\vec{\Sigma}]}{k_{\rm B}T}$$
$$\Delta F[\vec{\Sigma}] = k_{\rm B}T \log\left(\frac{1 + e^{-\hbar\omega(\beta_{0})/k_{\rm B}T}}{1 + e^{-\hbar\omega(\beta_{\Sigma}(t_{f}))/k_{\rm B}T}}\right)$$

- Thermodynamic system: two-level system
- Thermodynamic transformation: out-of-equilibrium driving
- Result: Jarzynski's equality

Stochastic entropy production

Battery's trajectories (direct protocol)



$$\Delta_{\mathsf{i}} \boldsymbol{s}[\vec{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}] = \sigma[\vec{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}] + \boldsymbol{I}_{\mathsf{Sh}}[\vec{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}]$$

$$I_{\mathsf{Sh}}[\vec{\Sigma}] = -\log(p_{\mathsf{m}}[\beta_{\Sigma}(t_f)])$$

- Thermodynamic system: whole hybrid system
- Thermodynamic transformation: relaxation toward equilibrium
 - Result: irreversible transformation



2 Proposal of direct measurement of the arrow of time

3 Direct measurement of Jarzynski's equality



Jarzynski's equality

In the regime
$$\frac{g_m}{\Omega} \ll |\beta_0|$$
: $\blacktriangleright \langle e^{-\sigma[\vec{\Sigma}]} \rangle_{\vec{\Sigma}} = 1$



Realistic parameters:
$$\gamma/\Omega = 5, \ T = 80 \,\mathrm{K}, \ \hbar\omega_0 = 1.2 k_{\mathrm{B}} \,T$$

Jarzynski's equality

In the regime
$$\frac{g_m}{\Omega} \ll |\beta_0|$$
:
 $\langle e^{-\sigma[\vec{\Sigma}]} \rangle_{\vec{\Sigma}} = 1$
 \rangle Oscillator equivalent to external drive



Realistic parameters: $\gamma/\Omega=5,~T=80\,{
m K},~\hbar\omega_0=1.2k_{
m B}\,{
m T},~g_{
m m}/\Omega=10$

Experimental error modeling



Mutual information and Jarzynski's equality



Realistic parameters: $\delta\beta = 2, \gamma/\Omega = 5, T = 80 \text{ K}, \hbar\omega_0 = 1.2k_BT, 2g_m|\beta_0|/2\pi = 600 \text{ GHz}$

Jarzynski's equality can be probed if $\delta\beta\ll g_{\rm m}/\Omega\ll |\beta_0|$



2 Proposal of direct measurement of the arrow of time

3 Direct measurement of Jarzynski's equality



Relaxation toward equilibrium



Average entropy production



$$\Delta_{\mathsf{i}} s[\vec{\Sigma}] = \log \frac{P[\vec{\Sigma}]}{\tilde{P}[\underline{\check{\Sigma}}]} = \sigma[\vec{\Sigma}] + \mathit{I}_{\mathsf{Sh}}[\vec{\Sigma}]$$

Conclusion

Hybrid optomechanical systems are promising set-ups to explore trajectory thermodynamics:

- Battery's energy fluctuations equal work fluctuations
- Jarzynski's equality directly measurable in a open quantum system with a realistic experimental platform

Thank you for your attention!

Further reading: npj Quantum Information 4, 59 (2018)

Absolute irreversibility



Generalized integral fluctuation theorem

$$\left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} s[\tilde{\Sigma}]} \right\rangle_{\tilde{\Sigma}} = \sum_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \tilde{P}[\tilde{\Sigma}] = 1 - \lambda < 1$$

Quantum jump operators

Master equation:
$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{1}{\hbar}[H,\rho(t)] + \gamma \bar{n}_{\omega(\beta_0(t))}D[\sigma^{\dagger} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{m}]\rho(t)$$

 $+ \gamma \left(\bar{n}_{\omega(\beta_0(t))} + 1\right)D[\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1}_{m}]\rho(t).$
 $D[X]\rho = X\rho X^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}\{X^{\dagger}X,\rho\}, \ \bar{n}_{\omega} = \frac{1}{\exp(\hbar\omega/k_{\mathsf{B}}T) - 1},$

.

$$\omega(eta) = \omega_0 + 2g_{\sf m}\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}eta$$
 and $eta_0(t) = eta_0{\sf e}^{-{\sf i}\Omega t}$

Unraveling:

Direct protocol $J_{0}(t) = \mathbf{1}_{qm} - \frac{i\Delta t}{\hbar} H_{eff}(t)$ $J_{-1}(t) = \sqrt{\gamma\Delta t(\bar{n}_{\omega(\beta_{0}(t))} + 1)} \sigma \otimes \mathbf{1}_{m}$ $\tilde{J}_{-1}(t) = J_{+1}(t)$ $\tilde{J}_{-1}(t) = J_{+1}(t)$ $\tilde{J}_{+1}(t) = J_{-1}(t)$ Reversed protocol $\tilde{J}_{0}(t) = \mathbf{1}_{qm} + \frac{i\Delta t}{\hbar} H_{eff}^{\dagger}(t)$ $\tilde{J}_{-1}(t) = J_{+1}(t)$ $\tilde{J}_{+1}(t) = J_{-1}(t)$

$$H_{\text{eff}}(t) = H - i \frac{\hbar}{2} (J_{+1}^{\dagger}(t) J_{+1}(t) + J_{-1}^{\dagger}(t) J_{-1}(t))$$

Trajectory probabilities

Trajectory
$$\vec{\Sigma} = (\Psi_{\Sigma}(t_0), ..., \Psi_{\Sigma}(t_N))$$
 with $|\Psi_{\Sigma}(t_n)\rangle = |\epsilon_{\Sigma}(t_n)\rangle \otimes |\beta_{\Sigma}(t_n)\rangle$

$$\begin{split} P[\vec{\Sigma}] &= p_{\beta_0}^{\infty}[\epsilon_{\Sigma}(t_0)] \prod_{n=1}^{N} P[\Psi_{\Sigma}(t_n) | \Psi_{\Sigma}(t_{n-1})] \\ \tilde{P}[\overleftarrow{\Sigma}] &= p_{\mathsf{m}}[\beta_{\Sigma}(t_N)] p_{\beta_{\Sigma}(t_N)}^{\infty}[\epsilon_{\Sigma}(t_N)] \prod_{n=N}^{1} \tilde{P}[\Psi_{\Sigma}(t_{n-1}) | \Psi_{\Sigma}(t_n)] \end{split}$$

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{\beta}^{\infty}[\epsilon] = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\hbar\omega(\beta)/k_{\mathrm{B}}T}}{1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\hbar\omega(\beta)/k_{\mathrm{B}}T}}$$

Work and Heat

Average quantities with an external drive:

$$\left\langle \dot{W} \right
angle = \mathsf{Tr} \left(
ho \dot{H}
ight)$$
 and $\left\langle \dot{Q}
ight
angle = \mathsf{Tr} (\dot{
ho} H)$

Energy variation $\delta \mathcal{E}_q[\Sigma, t_n]$ of the two-level system during the time step $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$:

- No jump evolution: work exchange $w[\Sigma, t_n] = \hbar(\omega(\beta_{\Sigma}(t_n)) - \omega(\beta_{\Sigma}(t_{n+1}))) = -\delta \mathcal{E}_m[\Sigma, t_n]$
- Quantum jump: heat exchange $q[\Sigma, t_n] = \pm \hbar \omega(\beta_{\Sigma}(t_n))$

Total work and heat exchanged along the trajectory $\vec{\Sigma}$:

$$W[\vec{\Sigma}] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w[\Sigma, t_n] \text{ and } Q[\vec{\Sigma}] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q[\Sigma, t_n]$$

Other hybrid optomechanical systems

Superconducting qubits embedded in oscillating membranes



J.-M. Pirkkalainen et al., *Nature*, **494**, p. 211–215 (2013)

NV defect coupled to a nanowire with a magnetic field gradient



O. Arcizet et al., *Nature Physics*, **7**, p. 879–883 (2011)