



AT THE FOREFRONT OF NANOSCIENCE

### Optimal power and efficiency of a quantumdot heat engine

MARTIN JOSEFSSON, A. SVILANS, A. BURKE, E. HOFFMAN, S. FAHLVIK, C. THELANDER, M. LEIJNSE, H. LINKE

QTD Espoo, June 25th, 2019



# Outline



- QD heat engine + motivation
- Theory & Experimental setup
- Power
- Efficiency

#### **Further reading**

<u>M. Josefsson</u> et al. Nature Nanotechnology **13**, 920 (2018) <u>M. Josefsson</u> et al. arXiv:1903.12618

# QD Heat Engine





#### **Electronic efficiency limits (QD):**

**Overall** maximum

$$\eta_C = 1 - \frac{T_C}{T_H}$$

PNAS **93**, 7436 (1996), PRL **89**, 116801 (2002) PRL **94**, 096601(2005) At maximum power

$$\eta_{CA} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{T_C}{T_H}} \approx \frac{\eta_C}{2}$$

EPL **85**, 60010 (2009) PRB **78**, 161406 (2008)

# Experiments

### The QD

InP-segment in a InAs nanowire

Band-gap offset confines electrons

Circuitry Metallic contactor "Top-heaters" used for thermal biasing  $\Delta T = T_H$  and/or Vext generates a current Externed Stress of the sector thermal biasing of the sector thermal biasing of the sector th















#### Anderson model

• Small  $\Gamma$ , large e-e interactions

#### **Real-time diagrammatics**

- Master equations
- Keep terms up to  $\Gamma^2$ 
  - Charge current
  - Heat current (no phonons)

$$H = H_D + \sum_r H_r + \sum_r T_{T,r}$$





Leijnse, Wegewijs, PRB **78**, 235424 (2008) N. Gergs et al. PRL **120**, 017701 (2018)



# **Conductance and power**

\_

\_\_\_\_

# Device characterization



Conductance ( $\Delta T = 0$ ):

 $\mathsf{E}_{C}$  and  $\alpha$  from stability diagram

 $\Gamma$  from G(V<sub>G</sub>) (fit)

Thermocurrent ( $\Delta T > 0$ ):

 $T_H$  and  $T_C$  from  $I(V_G)$  (fit)

Repeated for each  $I(V_G)$ 



Nat. Nano. 13, 920 (2018)

# Power & Load Matching 1



Remove  $V_{ext}$  - attach load.

Only power generation P>0



#### **Optimal load (theory)**

Linear response and sequential tunneling

$$R_P \approx 2.507 \frac{k_B (T_H + T_C)}{2\hbar\Gamma} \frac{h}{e^2}$$

Non-linear and second order effects: ~ 1%

Nat. Nano. 13, 920 (2018) & arXiv:1903.12618

1

0

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>4</sup>

10<sup>5</sup>

10<sup>6</sup>

**R** [Ω]

10<sup>7</sup>

10<sup>9</sup>

10<sup>8</sup>



## Power 2

Use  $V_{ext}$  to simulate a load:

QD

Ά

V<sub>ext</sub> ⊪\_\_⊦+

Two modes of operation:

P > 0 generator

P < 0 refrigerator







 $P = -IV_{ext}$ 

#### **NANOLUND** LUNIVERSITY AT THE FOREFRONT OF NANOSCIENCE

# Load Matching 2

#### Find optimal load from *P* vs *I*/V<sub>ext</sub>





-

# Efficiency

\_

\_

\_\_\_\_

#### Nat. Nano. 13, 920 (2018)

#### **Our device**

Efficiency

Focus on VG optimized for  $\mathsf{P}$ 

Curzon-Ahlborn efficiency  $\eta_{CA}$  at maximum *P* 

 $\eta \sim 0.7 \eta_C$  at P=50% of max P

First high efficiency estimates in a real device!







# Efficiency - second order



#### **General anderson QDs**

Second order effects - broadening

Max  $\eta$  for our device 70-80% of  $\eta_{C}$ 

 $\eta$  at max P less sensitive

Second order effects important!





- Theory and experiments on a single QD heat engine
- Load matching several options
- $\eta = \eta_{CA}$  when R is optimized for high power
- $\eta \sim 0.7 \eta_C$  when R is optimized for high power
- Second order tunneling important for  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$



3

P<sub>max</sub> [fW]





# NANOLUND

AT THE FOREFRONT OF NANOSCIENCE